Energy Efficiency Cost-Effectiveness Testing in Mississippi

Utilities System Impacts

- Increased Reliability
- Measure Costs
- Program Administration Costs
- Evaluation, Measurement & Verification Costs
- Shareholder Incentive Costs
- Avoided Marginal Energy Costs
- Avoided Generating Capacity Costs
- Avoided T&D Costs
- Wholesale Price Suppression Effects
- Avoided Ancillary Services
- Avoided T&D Line Losses
- Avoided Costs of Complying with RPS
- Avoided Credit and Collection Costs
- Avoided Environmental Compliance Costs*

*Although “Avoided Environmental Compliance Costs” is one category in the DSESP, some jurisdictions and benefit-cost analysis models further detail this category. If the category is gray, your state does not account for any such impacts. If the category is blue, your state accounts for at least one impact in this category.

Does your state account for the full range of utility system impacts? Does the test account for non-utility system impacts that align with applicable energy policies? Some states are answering these questions using the National Standard Practice Manual framework. See case studies here.

PARTICIPANT IMPACTS

- Satisfaction
- Measure Costs
- Asset Value
- Comfort
- Economic Well-Being
- Productivity

OTHER NON-UTILITY SYSTEM IMPACTS

- Energy Security
- Low-Income Customers
- Economic Development and Jobs
- Public Health
- Environmental
- Water Resource
- Other Fuel

Use the Database of State Efficiency Screening Practices (DSESP) to view state energy efficiency cost-effectiveness testing practices and guidance documents.