Energy Efficiency Cost-Effectiveness Testing in Washington

Utilities System Impacts

- Avoided Credit and Collection Costs
- Avoided Environmental Compliance Costs*
- Avoided Costs of complying with RPS
- Wholesale Price Suppression Effects
- Avoided Ancillary Services
- Avoided T&D Losses
- Avoided T&D Costs
- Energy Costs
- Shareholder Incentive Costs
- Avoided Marginal Energy Costs
- Avoided Generating Costs

*Although “Avoided Environmental Compliance Costs” is one category in the DSESP, some jurisdictions and benefit-cost analysis models further detail this category. If the category is gray, your state does not account for any such impacts. If the category is blue, your state accounts for at least one impact in this category.

Washington is using the National Standard Practice Manual framework to improve its current practice in order to better align with the NSPM core principles. See NSPM review process case studies here.

Participant Impacts

- Satisfaction
- Measure Costs
- Health and Safety
- Comfort
- Economic Well-Being
- Productivity
- Asset Value

Other Non-Utility System Impacts

- Energy Security
- Low-Income Customers
- Economic Development and Jobs
- Public Health
- Environmental
- Water Resource
- Other Fuel

Use the Database of State Efficiency Screening Practices (DSESP) to view state energy efficiency cost-effectiveness testing practices and guidance documents.